



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,587	03/15/2004	James C. Weaver	101328-243 (MIT10778L)	1652

21125 7590 03/02/2009
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD
BOSTON, MA 02210-2604

EXAMINER

MEHTA, BHISMA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3767

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/02/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/800,587	Applicant(s) WEAVER ET AL.	
	Examiner BHISMA MEHTA	Art Unit 3767	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BHISMA MEHTA. (3) Rory Pheiffer.

(2) Thomas Engellenner. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bellhouse et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,010,478).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The limitations of claim 1 were discussed with respect to the formation of a microconduit and administering a therapeutic molecule or ion into the microconduit. An amendment to claim 1 to include specific language of "using said microconduit" was discussed. It was indicated by the Examiner that, upon filing of any amendments to the claim(s), the claim(s) will be reviewed to determine if the scope of the claim(s) has been changed by the amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bhisma Mehta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767	
---	--